

	boat flotilla.	LB
7	<p>Robert Marjoram Charity Trust Representative</p> <p>MC – charity is an education trust down Gosberton Risegate, we have reepresentatives on committee because historically Surfleet extended down towards the Risegate, Our other representative has passed away, need new representative, because historically.</p> <p>MC - set up similar time as Fraiser charity.</p> <p>GW – as it is no longer in our parish do we need a representative on it?</p> <p>MC - agree, is there a reason why we need to be on there?</p> <p>GW – perhaps in constitution that they need someone from neighbouring village.</p> <p>MC - proposed we have a new representative but then start ball rolling to get us off charity but it could be in constitution.</p> <p>AD – need to write to charity commission.</p> <p>BT volunteered to be representative if all agreed, all agreed, then MC to get them out of involvement.</p>	MC
8	<p>SPARC security fencing grant application</p> <p>FH declared prejudicial interest</p> <p>GW – Letter received from SPARC, GW had meeting at SPARC area, want to put a pavilion up, solar panels, he wants to carry on with security fencing, letter read out to all Councillors asking us to submit a lottery funding grant application for the security fencing.</p> <p>Not an easy job applying for a lottery funding grant.</p> <p>MH – a lot of hassle for a small grant, police report saying quiet.</p> <p>MC – Mr Whyles from SPARC is the expert at applying for grants. Lots of organisations using that field, hockey and football clubs, why can't he get them to apply, we aren't using it.</p> <p>AD – said in letter lot of response from local residents for support to do job</p> <p>RS – we are not in control of grass field, we lost control, let them do it.</p> <p>JC – DW is excellent at raising money, why fallen at this hurdle, why ask us?</p> <p>JC – there are other means to go through, Karen Johnson at District Council is good at advising for funding, too much for clerk to do for their benefit.</p> <p>RS – cars do destroy surface.</p> <p>FH – grass still very uneven from last occasion, long time to recover, land no longer being managed, FH trying to get grants for own requirements, only allowed 1 every two years, village hall couldn't do it for them either due to this, only place left to turn who has responsibility to residents in Parish is the Parish Council, feels anti DW rather than what park does for village, it supports local businesses, school, nursery.</p> <p>They are looking into turning all the area into a commercial venture, whole site turned into community interest body, company representatives from area and village to run committee, in starting up process.</p> <p>AD – where are cameras that supposed to be up, they are not showing motors causing damage.</p> <p>GW - our cameras for play area, not enough for full area.</p> <p>MH – see logic behind proposition, good idea but don't want to do it.</p> <p>GW – not against idea but don't want to do it.</p> <p>FH - volunteered to complete application.</p> <p>MC – lots of work involved, clerk has enough to do, other organisations that use field like hockey and football club, can they do it?</p> <p>BT – not personally get involved, not think Council as council should get involved, have a vote.</p> <p>JC - would it be 100% grant or would Parish Council have to match fund it?</p> <p>AD - not right to stand by and give money to company, a company has shares.</p> <p>RS – liabilities.</p> <p>AD – too risky to give blessing to if in company.</p>	

	<p>FH – community interest company. GW – when completing application it is better to say already got money from somewhere. JC – will it cost Parish Council anything apart from initial £500 already agreed? GW – not give out any money till get lottery money. MH – moving to a company is just an idea at moment, lottery money spent on security fencing, not getting money to hand over to another company. GW – once done the Council would gift fencing to them. RS – petty not to support, back them 100%. AD – hockey club apply themselves. MC – propose we won't go ahead with application as a Parish Council, suggest other bodies are asked to do it that use field. AD seconded. FH – bodies like hockey club probably applied for as much funding as they can, if write letter already know response. MC – RS said he is a member of hockey club and not heard anything yet. 4 for proposal, 3 against (MH, JC, GW), FH declared interest so didn't vote.</p>	
9	Highways Matters	
9.i	MH - All previous matters reported, nothing appears to have been done, pot holes still getting bigger. Willow tree on Surfleet Road opposite no. 18, No. 17 Newlands House, Mr and Mrs Harrison, obscuring road, clerk to write letter.	LB
9.ii	Iron railings on corner of Woods Lane, enforcement officer frightened as they threatened her, waiting to take them to court. AD – send letter to SHDC planning, we have put up with them long enough, dangerous situation.	LB
9.iii	Disappointed with traffic lights on road, costing money, no work being done all this time, diabolical, lights before white cross corner. Letter to Podge Hole to complain.	LB
9.iv	GW – received complaint about road passed bungalow near sewerage works, MH rang highways, Hungate Lane, clerk to write to people, hedge overgrown onto highway stopping machinery, Bendyke farm.	LB
9.v	MC – Zara at Crown, highways sign for Stockhouse Lane obscuring view, letter to SHDC.	LB
9.vi	AD – pot holes down lane been filled with planings by him but coming out	
9.vii	<p>LCC Traffic Calming contribution of 50%, no more than £5,000 Letter read out, following complaints about speeding, results show problem, before scheme can progress Parish Council have to agree to 50% contribution, no more than £5,000, to be completed during this financial year. AD – need more information, a lot of money needs spending on village that is the Councils responsibility, need new footpath for cemetery. GW – appreciate that but looking at this project at moment. MH – need more information RS – not enough information in letter. JC – what are they looking at doing, signs, markings? FH – more information needed. MC - need more information, passed surveys showed not problem with speeding on Station Road. BT - not open cheque for something we don't know about, need more information as to what they intend to do and a cost figure. GW – propose to go back to LCC and get more information, all agreed</p>	LB
10	Reports from representatives on outside bodies Village Hall – RS when did parish plan comments said lack of communication between committees, due to this nothing to report.	
11	Cemetery Matters	
11.i	GW - Mishap with a burial, no cemetery policy at moment, clerk has drawn up a draft copy of Cemetery policy for comment. MC – a policy would not have made a lot of difference on this issue.	

<p>11.ii</p>	<p>MH – spelling correction of Internment at start. GW – paragraph to be added to 7: If there is a specific direction that the burial is required to be done then the funeral director must be informed of this and the Parish Council will consider this request prior to the burial. JC – clerk has done a good job. GW – passing a copy to person involved with initial mishap to read through as a member of the public, agreed to ensure this would not happen to anyone else. MH – ok to give to her to look at, but not for her to have last word. GW – also had a problem with Garden of Remembrance, different size box than the hole that had been dug. MH – propose to accept policy with amendments and AD seconded. Quotes for path and remedial works MC – still waiting for new quotes for moving path. AD – must be 10ft wide to get three people to walk down side by side at a burial. GW - agree, MC to sort AD – 100 year old cemetery, walls needs remedial work, MC to get quotes for footpath and wall GW – possibly have to do work over several years. BT – suggestion that councillors go down and look at cemetery, overseers can point out what work needs doing. GW – put on agenda for next meeting, 6.45pm meet at cemetery.</p>	<p>MC MC</p>
<p>12 12.i 12.ii 12.iii</p>	<p>Finance Signing of Cheques Signing of electronic payments Income and expenditure Income: Lincs CO-OP Burial – C France 70.00 Expenditure: before meeting: Clerk's salary - May and June 305.64 at meeting: 2 x months broadband contrib 20.00 1 x Fraiser room rent July 12.00 J.Chapman Fraiser Room Fund 284.96 Postage, envelopes and paper 7.84 E.Sterma cemetery work 96.00 Malc Firth Landscapes cemetery maint 404.56 SHDC election expenses 64.09 HM Revs and Customs PAYE 114.60 Enterprise Accy Internal Audit 96.00 J Chapman 6.00</p> <p>12.iv Internal Audit returned and no problems 12.v Cemetery charges to be looked at in Novembers budget meeting</p>	
<p>13</p>	<p>Risk Assessment Outcome JC volunteered to undertake Risk Assessment All items seemed secure but in shabby state, need some TLC, bus shelter opposite Fraiser Rooms dirty and writing shabby, village signs scratched and dented, railings on war memorial need painting, benches need varnishing. Cemetery trees not checked, maintenance crew checks stones but not sure about trees. MC – to get cost of Tree survey and works GW – do we need to look at doing this tidying work? MC – new bus shelters need staining before winter. AD – to get bench varnished JC – sad looking bench at cemetery, possibly replace with plastic one that doesn't deteriorate, if someone wants to provide a memorial, ask them. MH – school had benches made from recycled rubber, very heavy, not easy to steal.</p>	<p>MC AD</p>

	<p>AD – get wood and mend cemetery bench. BT – bear in mind ADs offer then see what comes up. GW – if bench not safe then needs removing, MC to remove. MH – when wall repaired then get a new bench, put question into Parish magazine when want a new one.</p>	MC
14	<p>Parish Plan JC – cycle ride and litter pick last year were covered by Parish Plan insurance, this year liable if no insurance so postponed, trying to find out about insurance cover, quote of £220 so far, can other Community Groups also take advantage? AD – ask Kevin Keo insurance consultant for village insurance, AD to tell him when he sees him. FH - can participants sign a disclaimer? JC – asked insurers that and they said not advisable. GW – overrated price for events, some of parish plan money should have been kept back for this. AD – even if not insured can you get people still to do it? JC – get better quote, who would pay it when get quote, possible use £5,000 pot that each district councillor has access to. James Avery looking at rubbish tipping near Riverside Hotel</p>	AD
15	<p>Affordable housing in Surfleet update AD declared interest Copy of B Bowe emails previously distributed. RS – who determines where centre of village is? JC - Coalbeach Lane remains possibility, ideal site. GW – D Best tried to get permission but these are just sites from call from land. RS – something to push for, but is it affordable? JC – housing needed but what scheme would be used, part ownership? SUR4 and 5 suitable. GW – no complaint with any sites, desperately in need of land, Reservoir Road not too far out of village, agree with RS about shop being closer. RS – who doesn't have a car if living in those areas. FH – need housing. MH – keep pushing, housing needed, 4 and 5 ok and do not see why not gone for 7, still live out there and get to school and shops. MC – pushing it along, low cost housing needed in Surfleet. BT – agree keep pushing for starter homes in village, nice village, already encouraged outsiders to buy plots in village but left gap for starter homes.</p>	
16	<p>Planning applications and decisions All got copy MH - Disappointed with getting permission on vehicular access on Station Road. Harrisons applications; no objections. RS – left meeting, excused 21.10</p>	
17	<p>Correspondence Arising from correspondence: 17.i LCC Parish Council information evening – MH to attend</p>	
18	<p>Members reports AD – nothing JC – will there be new councillors training course, clerk to ask about councillors e-group FH – nothing MH - nothing MC - nothing BT - nothing GW – living in caravans at pavilion Coney Garth Lane and garage on Reservoir. MH – to contact planning.</p>	LB MH
19	<p>Date of next meeting Tuesday 20th September starting at 7.00pm in the Fraiser Room.</p>	

The meeting was declared closed at 21.18pm

Signed.....Chairman Date.....